

APR 17 1918  
UNIV. OF MICH.  
LI-2227

# The Classical Weekly

VOL. XI.

MONDAY, APRIL 15, 1918

No. 23

## SPECIAL PUBLICATIONS

By The Classical Association of the Atlantic States

### (1) THE PRACTICAL VALUE OF LATIN (AND GREEK)

A pamphlet of 40 pages, giving expressions of lawyers, physicians, journalists, engineers, scientists, educators and business men favorable to the Classics, as subjects having a direct meaning and use for those who plan to engage in various forms of practical life. Speaking from personal experience the men and women quoted in this pamphlet insist, unhesitatingly, that the study of the Classics is a rational way of fitting one's self for practical life. Copies may be obtained at the following rates:

12 copies .....	\$ .50	200 copies .....	\$6.00
25 copies .....	1.00	300 copies .....	8.00
50 copies .....	1.90	400 copies .....	10.00
100 copies .....	3.50	500 copies .....	11.00
160 copies .....	5.00		

(2) Reprint, in the form of a 16 page pamphlet, from THE CLASSICAL WEEKLY 8.178-182, of a paper by Professor Lane Cooper, Assistant Professor of English, Cornell University, entitled,

### THE TEACHING OF ENGLISH AND THE STUDY OF THE CLASSICS

This paper contains a striking statement of the value, in a vital field, of a classical training. Every teacher of Latin and Greek, especially Greek, should read what Professor Cooper has to say, and should set it before his pupils. Copies may be had at the following rates:

12 copies .....	\$ .25
25 copies .....	.50
60 copies .....	1.00
100 copies .....	1.50
200 or more copies .....	one cent each

These two pamphlets deserve wide circulation.  
For copies address,

CHARLES KNAPP,  
Barnard College, New York City.



A NEW  
**COLLAR AND DANIELL**  
**FIRST YEAR LATIN**

Edited by **THORNTON JENKINS**

THIS new textbook takes into account the latest authoritative contributions of scholarship to the study of Latin, while sacrificing none of those characteristics which made the original book nationally famous.

AMONG its features the syntax is especially deserving of note. No book follows as closely the constructions recommended for the first year in Byrne's Syntax of High School Latin. Inflectional forms not occurring in the Latin of the second year have been omitted. Review questions and nine review lessons strengthen the machinery for drill.

(IN PREPARATION)



**GINN AND COMPANY**

70 Fifth Avenue

New York



**Smyth's Greek Series for Colleges and Schools**

Edited under the supervision of **HERBERT WEIR SMYTH, Ph.D.**, Eliot Professor of Greek Literature, Harvard University

**Books for the Study of the Greek Language**

IN the books intended for secondary schools and the first year of college work, much attention is given to the explanation of the language, and references to the Greek grammars in current use are frequent. The bibliographies are full and ample. The introductions and notes emphasize the human interest of the works in question. Due consideration is given to the synactical usage of each author, and explanation and illustration, wherever possible or pertinent, are sought from archaeology, history, and modern literature.

**Mather & Hewitt's Xenophon. Anabasis.**

**Sterrett's Homer's Iliad.**

**Brownson's Xenophon's Hellenica. Selections.**

**Smith & Laird's Herodotus. Books VII and VIII**

**Adam's Selected Speeches of Lysias.**

**Bates's Euripides's Iphigenia in Tauris.**

**Earle's Medea of Euripides.**

**Flagg's Apology and Crito of Plato.**

**Forman's Aristophanes.**

**Harry's Prometheus of Aeschylus.**

**Heidel's Euthyphro of Plato.**

**Humphreys's Demosthenes On the Crown.**

**Lamberton's Thucydides. Books II and III.**

**AMERICAN BOOK COMPANY**

NEW YORK

CINCINNATI

CHICAGO

BOSTON

ATLANTA

# THE CLASSICAL WEEKLY

Entered as second-class matter November 18, 1907, at the Post Office, New York, N. Y., under the Act of Congress of March 1, 1879

VOL. XI

NEW YORK, APRIL 15, 1918

No. 23

## EXSEQUITUR PRAECEPTA SIBYLLAE<sup>1</sup>

These words from Aeneid 6.236 preface the account of Aeneas's descent into the lower world. The conception of such a journey did not originate with Vergil. As the Sibyl and Aeneas remind us, a few demigods, Hercules, Theseus, Orpheus, Pollux, whom just Jupiter loved, had been able to descend to Avernus and to return to the upper air. Such myths merely give expression to a universal craving to pierce the mystery that surrounds the soul after death. The granting to a mortal of a glimpse of immortality invested him to a degree with superhuman attributes and marked him as a favorite of the gods.

So in the realm of the epic, Odysseus passed at Circe's command to the gloomy land of the Cimmerians, to the Ocean stream, to the kingdom of Hades and dread Persephone; he learned his fate from Tiresias, the Theban seer, and talked with his mother about Penelope and Telemachus, and saw a vision of fair women and brave men. We notice that Odysseus on his journey, though following supernatural instructions, passes unguided to the stream of Ocean. At Aeneas's side, however, walks the majestic figure of the Cumaean Sibyl and she does not leave him in Pluto's realm, but both together pass out of the ivory gate.

Why, then, did Vergil give to the Cumaean Sibyl so important a place in the passage of Aeneid 6 in which the prophecy of the greatness of the Julian line reaches its culmination?

Before considering this question directly it is necessary to call attention to the patent difference in purpose of the writer or writers of the Iliad and the Odyssey and that of the Aeneid. This is stated in the opening lines of each epic. The Iliad begins with *Μῆνιν ἄειδε, θεά, Πηληϊάδεω Ἀχιλῆος*, the Odyssey with *Ἄνδρα μοι ἔννεπε, μοῦσα*, and the Aeneid with *Arma virumque cano*.

That is, in each case, the narrative is to center in an individual, a peculiar phase of whose life is suggested later by a modifier the meaning of which the poet proceeds to amplify. The wrath of Achilles was baneful and caused a thousand woes to the Greeks and sent down many souls of brave heroes to Hades in the accomplishment of the will of Zeus. The man

of the Odyssey was *πολύτροπος*, 'full of devices'. He saw many cities, knew the minds of many men, suffered countless woes, and witnessed the death of his companions e'er the day of return was his. So in the Aeneid is expanded the story of the exile by fate who endured, as a result of divine wrath, many woes till he should found his city. After the analogy of the Iliad and the Odyssey the theme should end here, but instead the significant words are added, *genus unde Latinum Albanique patres atque altae moenia Romae*.

It is as though Vergil had said, 'In this epic I am following the example set in the Iliad and the Odyssey in narrating the adventures of a hero, but Aeneas is a hero who is interesting not only for his own sake, but also because he was the founder of a mighty nation; this is the epic not of an individual, but of a state'. The theme of the Aeneid is, then, two-fold; the glorification of a man and the glorification of a city-state.

The second, more abstract, part of Vergil's theme suggests in the first portion a deeper significance than lies on the surface. Why were the deeds of the Greek Aeneas chosen in preference to those of a Latin hero? Was it not that through Aeneas, the Greek, might be proven the right of the Roman city to the Greek heritage of literature and culture whose value was first fully appreciated in the first century B. C.? The deeds of the Greek Aeneas were made to foreshadow equally great exploits of the Roman ruler, Augustus, whose descent is so clearly traced to Aeneas in Aeneid 6.835.

This mingling, then, of the Greek and the Latin elements in due proportion was one of the problems that Vergil faced in writing the Aeneid. It was necessary for him to select from the mass of material at hand in both Greek and Roman literature, ritual, and legend what was adapted to his two-fold purpose.

Furthermore, in Homer prophecy plays a comparatively small part. Anthropomorphism is so pronounced that one feels equally at home with gods and men. Gods and goddesses hurry so easily to the aid of their mortal favorites that the need of formal go-betweens is hardly felt. In reading Homer one gets the same kind of impression that he receives from looking at Raphael's Transfiguration. One glance suffices to understand the earthly and the heavenly situation. Here you have the direct method. As Vergil studied his great prototypes, he must have realized that this method could not have been success-

<sup>1</sup>This paper was read at the Eleventh Annual Meeting of The Classical Association of the Atlantic States, held at the University of Pittsburgh, April 27, 1917.



fully applied to a poem like his own, that treated not of an individual, but of a nation evolved through a period of centuries. We find, then, the prophetic much more highly developed in the *Aeneid*. Cassandra, Helenus, Celaeno give their messages. When Vergil at last brings Aeneas to Italy, he has to select the prophetic figure that shall be instrumental in staging the finest prophetic scene and he finds this in the Cumaean Sibyl.

It would be interesting if we could discover how far the Sibyl had figured in the epic before the time of Vergil. She is not mentioned in the *Iliad* or in the *Odyssey* or in the extant fragments of the epics of Ennius and Naevius. It is, however, possible that either or both of these writers made her a prominent figure. In fact Varro, in the passage in which he enumerates the ten Sibyls of antiquity (apud Lactantium, *Inst.* 1.69) says *Quartam <Sibyllam fuisse> Cimmeriam in Italia quam Naevius in libris Belli Punici, Piso in Annalibus nominat*. This passage leaves us in doubt in what connection she appears in the *Bellum Punicum*. Vergil may have followed Naevius in introducing the Sibyl in the capacity of Aeneas's guide. Be that as it may, far stronger reasons existed in Vergil's time than in that of Naevius for assigning to her that duty.

If Vergil had considered Italian material before he made his choice, he could have found no prophetess of pure Latin origin available. Egeria, wife of Numa, Albunea, nymph of Tibur, and other prophesying nymphs were too limited in time and influence: while the Sibyl of Asia Minor and the priestess of Delphi belonged to a prehistoric past, the Latin nymphs who were believed to be endowed with the power of prophecy belonged almost to historic times. The greatest and earliest of these was Egeria, wife of Numa. The Roman lack of imaginative power is evidenced as clearly in Roman myths as in Roman literature. Hence Vergil could have used no purely Latin prophetess.

What, then, were the circumstances which rendered Vergil's choice of the Sibyl peculiarly happy?

Before this time the Sibyl was reputed to have evinced her interest in the greatness of the Julian line. In speaking of Julius Caesar, Suetonius says (*Julius* 79):

"A report was very current that he had a design of withdrawing to Alexandria or Ilium, whither he proposed to drain Italy by new levies and to leave the government of the city to be administered by his friends. To this report it was added that in the next meeting of the Senate Lucius Cotta, one of the fifteen, had made a motion that, as there was in the Sibylline books a prophecy that the Parthians would never be subdued but by a king, Caesar should have that title conferred upon him".

This reputed oracle is also referred to by Cicero in the *De Divinatione*, which was published in 43 B. C.; hence the oracle must have been current before the writing of the *Aeneid*. It is quite possible that to Vergil, as he pondered the most striking way to lead

up to Anchises's revelation of the coming glory of the Julian line, the report of this oracle may have suggested the appropriateness of the Sibyl.

No doubt a better reason for her appearance lies in her close connection with the god Apollo. The fact that Claros, Delphi, and Delos all laid claim to a Sibyl of their own is significant, since each of these places is closely associated with Apollo. Indeed, wherever an abode of an early Sibyl is pointed out, there, too, is found Apollo's temple and to him the Sibyl's inspiration is always ascribed.

Suetonius (*Augustus* 92) tells us that Augustus considered himself in a peculiar sense under the care of Apollo. This might seem to have been a mere impression on the part of Suetonius, arising largely from the prominence that Vergil himself gives to this god, but, if we examine facts in Augustus's reign, we can see that this partiality to Apollo was evident before the *Aeneid* was written. After the battle of Actium Augustus rebuilt the little temple of Apollo on the mainland near Actium, and consecrated there the naval trophies. In 36 B. C., he vowed the magnificent temple of Apollo on the Palatine, which was dedicated in 28 B. C. Preparations for the celebration of the Secular Games (17 B. C.) may have been on foot before Vergil's death. At these the honors were divided almost equally between Jupiter Capitolinus and Apollo Palatinus; it is interesting to note that the authority for their celebration was a Sibylline oracle. In *Odes* 1.2, published in 27 B. C., Horace, after recounting the horrors of the Civil Wars and the portents that accompanied them, prays for aid first to Apollo, then to Venus, then to Mars, then to Augustus (in the guise of Mercury) to save the Roman State. These divinities are the ones that Vergil makes prominent throughout the *Aeneid* and without doubt by both Horace and Vergil they were selected because each bore a special relation to the State. Mercury deigning to assume the form of the youthful Augustus is the divinely appointed leader of Rome under the protection of Mars, the father of Romulus, Venus, the mother of Aeneas, and Apollo, his own patron deity. In honor of Apollo, then, Augustus erected the temple on the Palatine with its noble marble portico, its priceless works of art, its libraries filled with the best in Greek and Roman literature, and over this presided the colossal statue of Apollo, beneath which were kept the Sibylline books. It is, therefore, natural that the exaltation of Apollo in the *Aeneid* carries with it the exaltation of the Sibyl.

At any rate it is Apollo that guides Aeneas from his home in the Troad to the land of Hesperia. Grynaean Apollo, that is, the Apollo of Asia Minor, so Aeneas tells Dido, has ordered Aeneas to seek great Italy (*Aen.* 4.346), and Apollo gives him explicit directions through the Pythia, through the Penates, through Helenus, and through Celaeno. This importance of the god and his messengers is of course

largely due to the fact that Apollo is the god of prophecy, but the pronounced emphasis laid upon the importance of the fulfillment of prophecy and hence upon such instruments of Apollo as the Sibyl may on the other hand be due to the fact that Apollo was the patron god of Augustus.

Another reason for introducing the Sibyl was the prominence which her ritual and prophecies had gained in the Roman State. Augustus showed decided disapproval of the practicing of new foreign rites in Rome, but those that he found fully established he encouraged as though native. The inculcation of reverence for the Sibyl is one of the earliest effects of the influence of Greek thought upon the Romans. Before the end of the kingdom, as legend says, in the reign of one of the Tarquins, this strange woman appeared in Rome with her books. The books finally purchased by the king became, as Firth, in his book, *Augustus*, styles them, "the Law and Prophets of Paganism". They were kept in the temple of Jupiter Optimus Maximus, under the guardianship of a special board with two Greek interpreters. The fact that they were written in a foreign language and were under strict guardianship kept the common people from intimate acquaintance with them; further, they could be consulted only by decree of the Senate and for State purposes.

In this respect they offered a marked contrast to the oracles delivered at Delphi, where State and individuals resorted to receive guidance. Xenophon explains that he consulted the Delphic priestess as to the sacrifices he should offer in order to make the expedition with Cyrus in the safest possible manner. Socrates says that Chaerephon, going to Delphi, asked whether any one was wiser than Socrates and received a negative answer. We find no such personal answers by the Sibyl, but many accounts exist of the consultation of the Sibylline books in order to ward off danger from the State. Livy gives a number of instances of their use in quieting popular unrest. We may note two. In the winter of 218 B. C., during the Second Punic War, Livy says,

'At Rome, or in the neighborhood, many portents occurred that winter, or, as often happens when once men's minds are affected by religious fears, many were reported and thoughtlessly believed'.

After telling a number of these portents, he adds,

'As to the other portents, the College of the Ten was bidden to consult the sacred books'.

After giving details with regard to offerings, sacred feasts, and sacrifices, he says,

'These ceremonies and vows performed in obedience to the Sibylline books greatly relieved men's minds of their religious fears'.

After the battle of Trasimennus Quintus Fabius Maximus was appointed dictator. He convoked the Senate and proved to the senators that Flaminius had met with defeat on account of neglect of religious rights and auspices and that Heaven itself must be

asked how the anger of Heaven could be propitiated. Says Livy (22.9):

"He thus prevailed upon them to do what is scarcely ever done except when the most sinister marvels have been observed, to order the ten to consult the books of the Sibyl. They inspected the volumes of destiny".

This limitation to State purposes of the consultation of the Sibylline books, the formality attending such consultation, and the place of honor assigned them as a sanctuary worked strongly upon the popular imagination. When the books were destroyed at the burning of the temple of Jupiter Capitolinus in 83 B. C., fifteen men of high rank were sent to Cumae, Erythrae, and other cities that owned Sibylline collections, to make a new collection for Rome. This collection Tacitus (*Annales* 6.12) tells us was kept under the base of the statue of the Palatine Apollo, in gilded cases. Suetonius (*Augustus* 31) adds that Augustus put them there only after a strict examination to ascertain which were genuine.

Such instances point to the fact that a reverence for these books had long been purposely impressed upon the minds of the common people as a means of strengthening the power of the State. Augustus would have been foolish indeed not to recognize and utilize their significance. He did this fully, and Vergil in the incident under discussion lends his influence toward furthering this piece of statecraft on the part of the Emperor.

Again, the hero of the Aeneid and the Cumaean Sibyl claimed like origin. Roscher (*Lexikon*, s. v. *Sibylla*) says that according to some authorities Aeneas had his first conference with the Sibyl in Marpessus in the Troad. Vergil, however, does not imply this. If we follow the line of reasoning laid down by Roscher, in all probability the belief in a Sibyl found its way from the East to Marpessus in Asia Minor, then to Erythrae, which, as the Sibyl grew in importance and overshadowed Marpessus, laid claim to being the native city of the Sibyl—an honor that in reality belonged to Marpessus. Other places, Claros, Delphi, Cumae, realizing the prestige arising from the presence of the Sibyl, claimed, not that she had originated in them, but that in her wanderings she had visited them and had made her abode with them for a time. The truth probably is that from the original city collections of inspired sayings were sent to different places; this, we know, took place between Cumae and Rome. So we trace our Cumaean Sibyl back from Rome to Cumae, to Erythrae, to Marpessus, which is practically Troy. Of the Sibyl of Marpessus, who probably was in Vergil's mind as he wrote of the Cumaean Sibyl, many myths were spread abroad relating to Homer and the Trojan war. According to Pausanias (10.12.1), the Sibyl had prophesied that Helen should be born for the destruction of Asia and Europe and that Ilium on her account should be

conquered. She also explained the dream of Hecuba. She is confounded with Cassandra and is spoken of as *γυνή γαμετή* of Apollo. To one interested in the subject of the Sibyl, the connection between her home and that of Aeneas would be very suggestive.

The dramatic effect of introducing the Sibyl would appeal to Vergil as an artist. His method of describing her is picturesque and suggestive. Heraclitus, 500 B. C., makes the first reference to her (apud Plutarchum, Pyth. Orac. 6). The Sibyl is represented as prophesying *μαιομένη στόματι*. She is also of great antiquity. The expression *Σιβόλλης ἀρχαιότερος*, 'of greater antiquity than the Sibyl', became a proverb. So the date of her birth was placed in primeval times among the shadowy forms of the Greek heroes. Not only did she exist in prehistoric times, but also the weight of centuries had bowed her form and dwarfed her as one of great age and hence worthy of respect. The Sibyl of Erythrae was granted by Apollo as many years of life as she held grains of sand in her hand, provided she would not look again upon the soil of Erythrae. She went to Cumae and lived there many years, but died upon receipt of a letter from Erythrae bearing a chalk stamp. When this happened none can tell. Another legend states that the gift of immortality was hers and finally became as burdensome to her as it did to Tithonus, and that she pined away till only a voice remained of her earthly substance. This presumably had its being in a phial and when children asked her, 'What do you want?', the voice replied, 'I want to die'.

With such a background of myths Vergil places the Sibyl at the entrance to the lower world in an atmosphere of mystery. To the *μαιομένη στόματι* are added other signs of the inspiration of the god. Her hair is roughened, her breast heaves, her color changes, her stature surpasses that of human beings. She struggles with the divine power and finally speaks under its stress. We cannot glean from Vergil's description whether the Sibyl is young or old; she is rather ageless and the majesty of the superhuman is stamped upon her. She is a truly epic figure, from a dramatic point of view.

Such may have been some of the reasons that led Vergil to make use of the Sibyl as Aeneas's guide through the shadowy regions of the lower world. Once he accepted her, he adapted her to his purpose. In the first place he does not give her the name used of her by early Roman writers. The Greek historian Ephorus (407 B. C.) designated the country in the vicinity of Lake Avernus as the home of the Cimmerians of the Odyssey. A Sibyl known as the Cimmerian is referred to by Varro, following Naevius and the Annalists. In the *De Mirabilibus*, a treatise bearing the name of Aristotle but evidently not written before 240 B. C., is this statement:

They point out at Cumae a subterranean chamber where dwells the Cumaean Sibyl, who there renders her oracles. Some take her for the Sibyl of Erythrae.

Others, who live in Sicily, consider her as being of Cumae. Others still call her Melankraera. This last name of the Sibyl is known to Lycophron, who makes her originate on Mt. Ida.

Vergil, like this early Greek writer, calls his Sibyl the Cumaean Sibyl, and, like him, connects her with Troy. As the city of Cumae was founded in 1050 B. C., the belief in a Sibyl here, whether she was known by the name Cimmerian or Cumaean, is probably synchronous with the belief in those of Asia Minor. If Vergil had used the term Cimmerian, he would have made closer the bond between the Sibyl and her Greek past: by calling her Cumaean he emphasized the merging of the Greek past into the nation's present, since Cumae, which far antedated Rome, was looked upon almost in the light of an Italian city.

Again, in quoting the Sibyl's prophecy Vergil does not use the acrostic, the usual form for Sibylline oracles. This possibly is to be accounted for by the fact that in Aeneas's case the Sibyl departs from her usual custom of writing the prophecies on leaves and speaks them through her own lips. Another reason may be that the chief prophecies are uttered not by the Sibyl but by Anchises.

The usual function of the Sibyl was to give instructions as to what course the State should pursue in order that an undertaking might prosper or a portent might not prove of ill omen. Prayer and sacrifice accompanied the appeal to the Sibyl. Aeneas follows this course in seeking advice for the State he is to found. So far the treatment of the Sibyl has been in keeping with her usual character, but the duty of guide through the lower regions is, as far as we know, new to her. As a rule, too, in the Roman State the Sibyl was the court of last appeal in prophecy, but Vergil brings the climax in prophetic art when father Anchises reveals to Aeneas the list of Roman worthies. This may be justified by the dramatic effect gained, since in so doing the aged father, the object of Aeneas's truest devotion, is given prominence. But it has perhaps a wider significance. This epic has been telling the deeds of a hero who, while putting first his filial duties, became the founder of a great city-state. His greatness has foreshadowed that of Augustus, who made it his boast to follow the behests of his foster father and who extended his power to the Garamantes and the Indi. This was a notable opportunity for emphasizing the practical good that comes to a man from honoring his father, a pronouncedly Roman virtue. It would encourage the Romans to look upon the achievements of their past as due to piety and conservatism, which Augustus as *Pater Patriae* did his utmost to further.

In these few moments we have noticed the relation of the Cumaean Sibyl to the general plan of the Aeneid, showing that the Aeneid necessitates a more fully developed treatment of the prophetic than did the simple and direct Iliad and Odyssey. We have considered some of the factors that gave importance to



the Cumaean Sibyl in the time of Augustus and Vergil's adoption of the Sibyl. It would be exceedingly interesting to take other incidents used by Vergil, some wholly Greek, others Italian, others Greco-Italian, such as this case which we have specially studied, and to analyze as far as possible Vergil's reasons for using them and the methods he employs. Such study affords the best proof possible that, though Vergil imitated Homer in meter, in general structure of the narrative, in the use of many incidents closely modeled upon similar incidents in the Iliad and the Odyssey, he was himself a great artist in molding telling incidents from divers sources into a homogeneous whole.

LAURA C. GREEN.

PENNSYLVANIA COLLEGE FOR WOMEN,  
PITTSBURGH, PA.

### REVIEWS

The Life of Saint Severinus, by Eugippius, translated by George W. Robinson. Cambridge: Harvard University Press (1914). 141 pages, with Map. \$1.50.

The first English translation of the *Vita Sancti Severini* merits a hearty welcome. Even aside from its primary interest and importance as a historical source for the sixth century, the *Vita* deserves perusal as the story of a remarkable life, told with unaffected charm by a loyal and devoted disciple.

The book under review consists of a preface (pages 7-10), table of contents (11), the translation (13-113), and an appendix (115-126) containing a list of editions and translations of the life, a Latin hymn in praise of St. Severinus, and a chronological table. There is an index of authors cited in the notes (which are comparatively few in number), and a general index.

As Mr. Robinson's translation is based on the text of Mommsen (Berlin, 1898), it is to be regretted that he does not retain Mommsen's section numbers as well as his division into chapters. Moreover, the typographical arrangement of the chapters as formally separate units (instead of the mere indication of chapter and section numbers in the margin) gives the biography a somewhat disjointed appearance, as of many disconnected anecdotes rather than a fairly continuous narrative. Again, in his references to the *Getica* of Jordanes the translator gives chapter numbers only, with loss of precision, especially in the case of long chapters.

The English version, on the whole, is precise and careful, and often felicitous. As examples of diction and phrasing adequate in sense and feeling to the original we may note the following: Ad Pasch. 5 Norici Ripensis, "Riverside Noricum"; 1.3 ecclesiae. . . custode, "sacristan"; 1.5 tanti, "how great a guest!"; 3.3 fame laborantibus, "the famine-stricken"; 5.2 non te itaque pigeat, "let it not irk thee"; 8.3 puerili motu concitus, "moved by childish curiosity"; 34.1 de longinquis regionibus, "from a far country"; 45.1

clauso oris sui ostio in cordis cubiculo, "behind the closed door of his mouth in the chamber of his heart".

Yet the version has some marked defects. In the first place, it somehow fails to reproduce the quaint and unstudied peculiar charm of Eugippius. The *Vita Severini* has an old-time simplicity, a kindly attitude, a flavor of scriptural reminiscence, and an air of wonder about it that are most difficult to put into modern English. These traits have made critics call the biography 'incomparable', and the utmost effort is needed to catch them and reproduce them in another language. Perhaps the task is impossible. Yet some failures in appreciation may properly be noted. These are of four sorts: loose paraphrasing, actual mistakes, over-modern renderings, and too archaic renderings.

(1) Of loose paraphrasing take the following instances: Ad Pasch. 4 ubi disciplinae nulla constructio, nullus grammatici culminis decor extitit, "when a liberal education has not fashioned the work, nor literary training lent it elevation and elegance"; 10.1 cum suo persuasore captivus, "he and the layman were made captives"; 14.1 quidnam est quod facere voluistis, "why have ye done this?"; 43.2 filios suos adesse praecipiens et propheticae benedictionis affatibus singulos quosque remunerans mysteriorum arcana prodidit futurorum, "called unto his sons, and said: 'Gather yourselves together'; that he might tell them that which should befall them in the last days, and bless them every one according to his blessings".

(2) In some places the translator seems to have missed the force of the Latin: Ad Pasch. 6 quae quoniam fidelis portitor, filius vester Deo gratias, optime novit, verbo commendavimus intimanda, sperantes nos baiuli nomen etiam de tui operis perfectione iugiter esse dicturos, "Since the trusty bearer, thy son Deo gratias, best knows these, I have entrusted to him to communicate them to thee by word of mouth. And I hope that I may speedily be able yet again to call him bearer on the completion of thy work". Does not Eugippius mean that he hopes he may soon call out the bearer's name (Deo gratias!) as a pious ejaculation upon the completion of the work? In cap. 21 *de longinquo* is rendered by "some time before". The meaning is rather 'from a distance', for it is expressly stated (21.1) that Paulinus came *fama eius excurrente*, and moreover that he stayed only *aliquot diebus*. In cap. 35 *mox mirabiliter effectum iugiter orandi promeruit* is rendered by "thereupon he earned a wonderful power of endurance in prayer", but *mirabiliter* should be taken with the verb, 'miraculously obtained'. In 15.2 it is more natural to suppose that the flooring itself was washed away by the floods (*tabulata* means 'beams' or 'joists'), and to translate by 'now lay your floor over the beams', rather than by "let a pavement now be laid upon the boards". In 43.8 *totumque corpus signo crucis extenta manu*

consignans means not "made the sign of the cross over his whole body", but rather "forming with his whole body the sign of the cross by extending his arms <at right angles>".

(3) Some phrases, while not inaccurate or free, are too modern in tone and so strike a note out of tune with the original: 9.3 *praeunte semper revelatione*, "on the strength of a previous revelation"; 29.2 *fide magis quam gressibus*, "trusting in God rather than in the strength of their limbs"; 46.1 *quem fama vel litteris cum suo quondam iugali optime noverat*, "she and her late husband had known him well by reputation and through correspondence"; Ad Eugipp. 3 *facilius virtutes magistrorum a discipulis exponuntur, quae suggeruntur crebrius conversatione docentium*, "The virtues of teachers are particularly visible in their daily life, and consequently are more easily depicted by their pupils".

(4) In a few cases the translation falls into the opposite fault of using language whose archaic or formal tone is not in harmony with the immediate context: Ad Pasch. 9 *periclitantibus his hominibus*, "these perilled folk"; cap. 5 *ab insidiis inimicorum*, "from the ambushes of the foe"; 11.2 *devotionibus accolarum*, "by the prayers of the vicinage"; 12.1 *orationum tuarum experta suffragia postulamus*, "we ask the tried suffrage of thy prayers"; 25.3 *lorica fidelis*, "trusty cuirass" (why not simply 'breast-plate'?).

In his statement regarding the most recent German translations of the Life, Mr. Robinson refers to "Karl Rodenberg (Leipsic, 1878, second edition, 1884)", overlooking the more recent third edition (1912). To his cross-references to the *De Origine Actibusque Getarum* of Jordanes, which he always cites as *De rebus Geticis*, though it is usually, since Mommsen, called *Getica*, additions may be made: thus on 5.2 *Gothorum nec copia nec adversitate turbaberis, quia cito securus eis discedentibus*. . . *regnabis*, see *Getica* 56.283-284; in 44.4 in connection with *Novae* see *Getica* 18.101; on the early history of Theodoric see 52.269, 271; 55.282; 56.288; in 46.2 on *in castello Lucullano*, see *Getica* 46.242.

In further support of the theory that St. Severinus was himself of noble birth (page 19, note 1), his manner of addressing the woman Procula (3.2 *cur. . . nobilissimis orta natalibus cupiditatis te praebes ancillam*), and his dying admonition (43.3 *terrena despice*) are perhaps significant. On page 52, note 3, the statement that Eugippius contrasts the terms *oppida* and *castella* is based on a misunderstanding of the force of *vel* in the phrase *oppida vel castella*. On page 69, note 2, for *cohors nova Batavorum* read *cohors nona*. On page 91 (29.1), a cross-reference might have been given to 25.1. Possibly the phrase *in insulae solitudine* (44.2) may be understood to refer to the Castel dell' Ovo, or Megaris, which, according to Beloch, formed the nucleus of the Lucullanum, where the Severinus monastery was afterwards

founded (46.2). See Beloch, *Campanien im Altertum*<sup>2</sup>, 81.

The translator gives the following Biblical references in addition to quotations already noted by Mommsen in his edition: Ad Pasch. 3, 1 Cor. 2.13 (already pointed out by Pfeilschifter, in *Wochenschrift für Klassische Philologie*, 1899, 155); Ad Pasch. 9, Matt. 25.33; 3.2, Col. 3.5; 3.2, Matt. 25.35-42; 6.2, 1 Cor. 7.25 (previously noted by Manitius, in *Berliner Philologische Wochenschrift*, 1899, 460); 9.4, Gen. 19.26 and Luke 17.32; 43.2, Heb. 13.7; 43.4, 1 Chron. 28.9 and Eph. 1.18; Ad Eugipp. 5, 1 Maccabees 3.8, 5.44, 68, 10.83 f.; Ad Eugipp. 6, Rev. 21.2, 9. He also corrects the following references given by Mommsen: 12.2, Joel 2.15 to Joel 2.15-16; 43.5, Psalms 50.16 to Psalms 51.17. Both Mommsen and the translator are in error in citing Gen. 50.25 instead of Gen. 50.24 in connection with 40.5, and in the reference to Gen. 49.1-33 (there are only 32 verses in this chapter) on 43.2. The Biblical reference on 43.8 should be Psalms 150.1-6, as it is given in Mommsen (not Psalms 150.1, 6), for the entire Psalm is here indicated by citing, as was customary, the opening and the closing words. To the foregoing might perhaps be added: 1.2 *palmam. . . sequeretur*, Phil. 3.14; 36.1 in adoptionem recipiens filiorum paterno dignaretur flagello corripere, Gal. 4.5 and Heb. 12.6; 40.5 *praecepti. . . Ioseph*, Ex. 13.19; 43.2 Abraham namque vocatus, Heb. 11.8; Ad Eugipp. 5 filiis suis, 1 Maccabees 2.2. Manitius, in his review of Mommsen's edition of the *Vita Severini* (*Berliner Philologische Wochenschrift*, 1899, 460), calls attention to some twenty further instances of more general reminiscences suggested by the Bible; some of these might properly have been noted in the footnotes to the translation.

Though pains have been taken here to point out every kind of imperfection in Mr. Robinson's book, the merit of his achievement largely outweighs its defects, and hearty thanks are due him for preparing a serviceable and, on the whole, so reliable an edition of the *Vita Severini*.

COLORADO COLLEGE,  
Colorado Springs.

CHARLES CHRISTOPHER MIEROW.

The Olynthiac Speeches of Demosthenes<sup>1</sup>. By J. M. Macgregor. Cambridge: at the University Press (1915). Pp. lii + 101. 2 sh., 6 d.

The aim of the editor, as stated in the Preface, is to show the speeches in their due relation to Demosthenes's whole career and to provide the student with the means for an effective understanding of them. A somewhat careful examination of the book convinces me that this aim has been fulfilled.

The Introduction treats the life of Demosthenes, under the following topics: (1) Birth, Education and Early Manhood (ix-xv); (2) The Uprising of

<sup>1</sup>The difference between this review and that of the same book contributed to THE CLASSICAL WEEKLY 10.53-54 by Professor Elmore is so great that it is worth while to print the present paper.—C. K.



Macedon (xv-xxi); (3) From the First Philippic to the Peace (xxi-xxviii); (4) From the Peace to Chaeronea (xxix-xxxvi); (5) The Triumph of Macedon (xxxvii-xli); (6) The Last Effort (xlii-xliv). Though the treatment is condensed, the several topics are presented in a clear and interesting manner and the marginal headings are helpful to the reader. Footnotes to this Introduction enable the student to verify the statements and to read here and there in other speeches of the orator.

Some of these notes suggest interesting lines of inquiry. Demosthenes's grandmother had been a native of Scythia, and the editor remarks (ix) that "The fiery vehemence of Demosthenes has been ascribed to the northern strain in his blood. . ."

The boyhood and the early education of great men are of special interest, as it often happens (the case of Abraham Lincoln is in point) that limitations, obstacles and misfortunes become incentives and spurs both to the development of character and to individual methods of self-training which prove most effective preparation for a great life-work. There were in the sickly frame and delicate constitution of the future orator a fiery intensity of spirit and an indomitable will. The method he employed to overcome his physical disabilities and fit himself to become an orator gave rise to many stories which doubtless rest on fact. It was doubtless these physical defects—a weak voice, an indistinct utterance, and a shortness of breath—that induced Demosthenes to lay so much stress on delivery. Action, action, action, said he, were the first, second, and third essentials of the orator.

At any rate his perseverance and final success against heavy odds show the character of the youth and have served as an incentive and example ever since his day. He says somewhere that he received the ordinary education of an Athenian. He seems to have been prevented by lack of means from attending the lectures of Socrates, the fashionable teacher of rhetoric of the day. It seems clear that he had recourse to the help and guidance of Isaeus in preparing his speeches against his dishonest guardians. Accordingly he became a professional speech-writer. His law-suits in connection with his efforts to recover his patrimony, though not successful, brought him at an early age into public life. Writing public speeches for individuals often led to the discussion of public questions. As a parallel to this from Roman life the editor notes the defense of the Optimates which forms part of Cicero's speech *Pro Sestio*.

Demosthenes gave the most careful preparation to the composition of his speeches, and, like Daniel Webster, seldom spoke extempore. Though lacking in humor, Demosthenes had plenty of wit and was a master at repartee. Care and minute thoroughness in composition, and consideration of the effect upon his audience of every argument did not obscure his natural wit and quickness of rejoinder. The editor cites one or two instances of this. When Pythias, a

political rival and base-liver, ridiculed his studious methods of preparation<sup>2</sup> and declared that his reflections 'smelt of the lamp', 'The lamp', replied Demosthenes, 'sees you and me at different work'.

The discussion of the uprising of Macedon (xv-xxi) is excellent and reminds us that the Macedonian question is a very old one, is still of vital importance, and was one of the minor causes of the present Great War. It was no small element in the greatness of Demosthenes that he so early discerned the aims of the crafty king of Macedon, and made it the object of his life to counteract them and to arouse his countrymen to a sense of danger to the sovereignty and the independence of all the states of Greece. In this task Demosthenes has been the champion of the liberties of all mankind when threatened by the forces of autocracy and despotism.

The advance of Philip to Thermopylae—the gateway of Greece—and also his presence near the Athenian possessions on the Chersonese brought home to the Athenians the warnings of the orator and caused him to increase his exertions against the encroachments of the subtle subverter of the liberties of Greece.

The imperialistic school of history has rather belittled the efforts of Demosthenes in seeking to arouse his indifferent and weak-spirited fellow-citizens against the insidious policy of Philip and the military power of Alexander.

It was a great and perhaps hopeless task. Philosophers and thinkers like Plato despaired of the State. But in times of national peril the man who, in the words of Plato, 'holds his peace and does his own business', and is unwilling to stand up bravely for the rights of his country and prepare to meet the enemy at the cost of his own life is unworthy to possess freedom. The faults and weakness of character of the orator should not lessen our admiration for his lofty ideals and his strenuous efforts in the face of open traitors and timid and prudent opponents like Eubulus and Isocrates (xxii). The benefit to the world arising from the conquests of Alexander is no proof that the work of Demosthenes was wrong and misguided.

<sup>2</sup>We may quote here Aulus Gellius i.5, not noted by Professor MacGregor in this connection:

Demosthenen traditum est vestitu ceteroque cultu corporis nitido venustoque nimisque accurato fuisse. Hinc ei τὰ κομψά illa χλαῖνικα et μαλακοὶ χιτῶνισκοί ab aemulis adversariisque probro data, hinc etiam turpibus indignisque in eum verbis non temperatum, quin parum vir et ore quoque polluto diceretur.

Ad eundem modum Q. Hortensius omnibus ferme oratoribus aetatis suae, nisi M. Tullio, clarior, quod multa munditia et circumspecte compositaeque indutus et amictus esset manusque eius inter agendum forent argutae admodum et gestuosae, maledictis compellationibusque probis iactatus est, multaque in eum, quasi in histrionem, in ipsis causis atque iudiciis dicta sunt. Sed cum L. Torquatus, subagresti homo ingenio et infestivo, gravius acerbiusque apud consilium iudicum, cum de causa Sullae quaeretur, non iam histrionem eum esse diceret, sed gesticulariam Dionysiamque eum notissimae saltatriculae nomine appellaret, tum voce molli atque demissa Hortensius 'Dionysia', inquit 'Dionysia malo equidem esse quam quod tu, Torquate, ἀρροδοῖς, ἀρροδιῶνισσός'.

Demosthenes found inspiration in the great writers of the age of Pericles. His favorite reading and model was the history of Thucydides, which he is said to have copied out eight times (xxiii, n. 3). So his First Philippic, delivered when he was about thirty years old, was a call to action and an appeal to prepare by personal service against the menace from the North. Then followed the three Olynthiac speeches. Their order of delivery is discussed by the editor in Appendix A (90-93), in which, in opposition to Grote, he adheres to the traditional order of the MSS. Appendix B (93-96) is devoted to a brief but scholarly note on the Theoric Fund, a subject which is of much importance in understanding the temper of the Athenians of this period.

Athens failed to send aid to the Olynthians, and in 348 B. C. Olynthus was destroyed (xxv). Two years later, seeing the hopelessness of continuing hostilities, Demosthenes agreed to the Peace (xxviii). During the next few years he was active in reforming the navy and in his efforts to incite the people to prepare and make sacrifices for the final struggle (xxix-xxxiii). By a great effort he succeeded in securing an alliance with Thebes (xxxiv). On the fatal field of Chaeronea, where Demosthenes served as a hoplite in the ranks, the Greeks fought bravely (xxxv). When all was lost, the orator fled with others and was reproached with cowardice (xxxv). The fact that he was assigned the honor by his fellow-citizens of pronouncing an eulogy over those who fell in the battle (xxxvi) would imply that his policies and his efforts were still held in esteem.

After the death of Philip, Demosthenes, true to his whole career, continued the struggle to regain for Greece her former liberties. He could not possibly dream how from a youth, beset with danger and revolt at home, was to arise a mighty world-conqueror against whom every effort would be unavailing. Through the clemency of Alexander the life of the orator was spared (xxxviii) and he delivered later (xxxix-xl) the greatest oration of all time, the oration On the Crown, great, not because of what it accomplished, but because of the masterly way in which he defended the cause of liberty as embodied in his whole past career.

Demosthenes had no longer a great cause to fight for and during his last years was not so prominent in the public eye. The close of his career was disgraced by the Harpalus affair and the stolen treasure. The orator was convicted of receiving a bribe of 20 talents in connection with the escape of Harpalus (xli). Being unable to pay the large fine imposed he was thrown into prison, but escaped and lived for some time in exile. It is charitable to suppose, with Mr. Macgregor, that he accepted the bribe from political rather than personal motives (xli, note).

Plutarch commends Demosthenes for his efforts in behalf of his country while in exile (xlii), whereas

Cicero passed his exile idly. The comparison between the two men is still instructive. Demosthenes was recalled home in triumph (xliii) and for a brief period showed his old energy in the renewed resistance to Macedonian rule. But the end was now near. To escape falling into the hands of Antipater the orator took poison in his hiding-place on the island of Calauria (xliv).

The careful Analyses of the three speeches, prefixed to the text (xlv-l), will aid in securing that most necessary requirement in the study of the Classics, understanding of the close connection between the language and the thought. Constant practice in reading the original aloud is also to be commended in this connection.

A note on the Sources of the Text closes the introductory matter (l-lii). It is the writer's experience that College students are much interested in obtaining some knowledge of the sources of their texts, and the means by which the classic authors have been transmitted to us.

Turning now to the Commentary, we find that it occupies 57 pages against 29 pages of text. The editor has shown skill in explaining obscurities, and judgment and good taste in his neat rendering of a number of passages which might cause difficulty to the beginner. The notes should be a real help because of their concise explanation of points of grammar and syntax and historical allusion. We find no reference to the works of American scholars, except twice to Goodwin's Greek Moods and Tenses. The cross-references in the speeches themselves are helpful. Some explanation of the rhetorical structure and of the relation of the form to the thought might well have been incorporated in the commentary. Two indices, on matters and on Greek words, increase the value of the explanatory notes.

By a class-room test this year, the writer was again impressed with the educational value of these powerful speeches, and also by the conviction that the ideals of patriotism and democracy which vibrate through the orations of this great orator are a living force to-day. Perhaps the reading of Demosthenes has of late years been neglected in our Colleges. The Olynthiacs and the Philipics at least should form a part of the Greek course.

I will close this somewhat extended review of an excellent little book by citing an ancient appreciation of Demosthenes by an able critic of literary art, Dionysius of Halicarnassus, quoted in Dr. Wright's *History of Greek Literature*, 355:

'When I read a speech of Isocrates. . . I become sober and calm. . . but when I take up a speech of Demosthenes, I am stirred to enthusiasm, moved hither and thither, and I share in all the emotions that sway the mind of man'.

H. S. SCRIBNER.

THE UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH.